

Chapter 2
World Trade:
An Overview

Slides prepared by Thomas Bishop
Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

Preview

- The largest trading partners of the U.S.
- Gravity model:
 - ◆ influence of an economy's size on trade
 - ◆ distance and other factors that influence trade
- Borders and trade agreements
- Globalization: then and now
- Changing composition of trade
- Service outsourcing


Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved. 2-2

Who Trades with Whom?

- The 5 largest trading partners with the U.S. in 2005 were Canada, China, Mexico Japan and Germany.
- The total value imports from and exports to Canada in 2005 was about \$500 billion dollars.
- The largest 10 trading partners with the U.S. accounted for 56% of the value of U.S. trade in 2005.

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved. 2-3

Fig. 2-1: Total U.S. Trade with Major Partners, 2006



Source: U.S. Department of Commerce
Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-4

Size Matters: The Gravity Model

- 3 of the top 10 trading partners with the U.S. in 2005 were also the 3 largest European economies: Germany, UK, and France.
- These countries have the largest **gross domestic product (GDP)** in Europe.
 - ◆ GDP measures the value of goods and services produced in an economy.
- Why does the U.S. trade most with these European countries and not other European countries?

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-5


Size Matters: The Gravity Model (cont.)

- In fact, the size of an economy is directly related to the volume of imports and exports.
 - ◆ Larger economies produce more goods and services, so they have more to sell in the export market.
 - ◆ Larger economies generate more income from the goods and services sold, so people are able to buy more imports.

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-6

Fig. 2-2: The Size of European Economies, and the Value of Their Trade with the United States



Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, European Commission

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-7

The Gravity Model

Other things besides size matter for trade:

1. *Distance* between markets influences transportation costs and therefore the cost of imports and exports.
 - ◆ Distance may also influence personal contact and communication, which may influence trade.
2. *Cultural affinity*: if two countries have cultural ties, it is likely that they also have strong economic ties.
3. *Geography*: ocean harbors and a lack of mountain barriers make transportation and trade easier.

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-8

The Gravity Model (cont.)

4. *Multinational corporations*: corporations spread across different nations import and export many goods between their divisions.
5. *Borders*: crossing borders involves formalities that take time and perhaps monetary costs like tariffs.
 - ◆ These implicit and explicit costs reduce trade.
 - ◆ The existence of borders may also indicate the existence of different languages (see 2) or different currencies, either of which may impede trade more.

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-9

The Gravity Model (cont.)

- In its basic form, the gravity model assumes that only size and distance are important for trade in the following way:

$$T_{ij} = A \times Y_i \times Y_j / D_{ij}$$

- where

T_{ij} is the value of trade between country i and country j

A is a constant

Y_i the GDP of country i

Y_j is the GDP of country j

D_{ij} is the distance between country i and country j

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-10

The Gravity Model (cont.)

- In a slightly more general form, the gravity model that is commonly estimated is

$$T_{ij} = A \times Y_i^a \times Y_j^b / D_{ij}^c$$

where a , b , and c are allowed to differ from 1.

- Perhaps surprisingly, the gravity model works fairly well in predicting actual trade flows, as the figure above representing U.S.–EU trade flows suggested.

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-11

Distance and Borders

- Estimates of the effect of distance from the gravity model predict that a 1% increase in the distance between countries is associated with a decrease in the volume of trade of 0.7% to 1%.

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-12

Distance and Borders (cont.)

- Besides distance, borders increase the cost and time needed to trade.
- *Trade agreements* between countries are intended to reduce the formalities and tariffs needed to cross borders, and therefore to increase trade.
- The gravity model can assess the effect of trade agreements on trade: does a trade agreement lead to significantly more trade among its partners than one would otherwise predict given their GDPs and distances from one another?

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-13


Distance and Borders (cont.)

- The U.S. signed a free trade agreement with Mexico and Canada in 1994, the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA).
- Because of NAFTA and because Mexico and Canada are close to the U.S., the amount of trade between the U.S. and its northern and southern neighbors as a fraction of GDP is larger than between the U.S. and European countries.

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-14

Fig. 2-3: Economic Size and Trade with the United States



Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, European Commission

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-15


Distance and Borders (cont.)

- Yet even with a free trade agreement between the U.S. and Canada, which use a common language, the border between these countries still seems to be associated with a reduction in trade.

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-16

Fig. 2-4: Canadian Provinces and U.S. States That Trade with British Columbia



Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-17

Table 2-3: Trade with British Columbia, as Percent of GDP, 1996

| Canadian Province | Trade as Percent of GDP | Trade as Percent of GDP | U.S. State at Similar Distance from British Columbia |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Alberta | 6.9 | 7.6 | Washington |
| Saskatchewan | 2.4 | 1.0 | Montana |
| Manitoba | 2.0 | 0.3 | California |
| Ontario | 1.9 | 0.2 | Ohio |
| Quebec | 1.4 | 0.1 | New York |
| New Brunswick | 2.3 | 0.2 | Maine |

Sources: Howard J. Wall, "Gravity Model Specification and the Effects of the U.S.-Canadian Border," Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Working Paper 2000-021A, 2003.

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-18

Has the World Become “Smaller”?

- The negative effect of distance on trade according to the gravity models is significant, but it has grown smaller over time due to modern transportation and communication.
 - ◆ Wheels, sails, compasses, railroads, telegraph, steam power, automobiles, telephones, airplanes, computers, fax machines, internet, fiber optics, personal digital assistants, GPS satellites... are technologies that have increased trade.
- But history has shown that political factors, such as wars, can change trade patterns much more than innovations in transportation and communication.

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved. 2-19

Has the World Become “Smaller”? (cont.)

- There were two waves of globalization.
 - ◆ 1840–1914: economies relied on steam power, railroads, telegraph, telephones. Globalization was interrupted and reversed by wars and depression.
 - ◆ 1945–present: economies rely on telephones, airplanes, computers, internet, fiber optics, PDAs, GPS satellites...

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved. 2-20

Has the World Become “Smaller”? (cont.)

- Only in the last few decades has international trade become more important to the British economy than it was in 1910.
- Even today, international trade is less important for the U.S. than it was to the UK before 1910.

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved. 2-21

Changing Composition of Trade (cont.)

- In the past, a large fraction of the volume of trade came from agricultural and mineral products.
 - ◆ In 1910, Britain mainly imported agricultural and mineral products, although manufactured products still represented most of the volume of exports.
 - ◆ In 1910, the U.S. mainly imported and exported agricultural products and mineral products.
 - ◆ In 2002, manufactured products made up most of the volume of imports and exports for both countries.

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-25

Table 2-4: Manufactured Goods as a Percent of Merchandise Trade

| | United Kingdom | | United States | |
|------|----------------|---------|---------------|---------|
| | Exports | Imports | Exports | Imports |
| 1910 | 75.4 | 24.5 | 47.5 | 411.7 |
| 2002 | 82.6 | 80.4 | 82.1 | 77.8 |

Source: 1910 data from Simon Kuznetz, *Modern Economic Growth: The Rise, Stagnation, and Spread*. New Haven: Yale Univ. Press, 1966. 2002 data from World Trade Organization.

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-26


Changing Composition of Trade (cont.)

- Low and middle-income countries have also changed the composition of their trade.
 - ◆ In 2001, about 65% of exports from low and middle-income countries were manufactured products, and only 10% of exports were agricultural products.
 - ◆ In 1960, about 58% of exports from low and middle-income countries were agricultural products and only 12% of exports were manufactured products.

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-27

Fig. 2-7: The Changing Composition of Developing-Country Exports



Source: United Nations Council on Trade and Development
Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved. 2-28

Service Outsourcing (cont.)

- **Service outsourcing** occurs when a firm that provides services moves its operations to a foreign location.
 - ◆ Service outsourcing can occur for services that can be performed and transmitted electronically.
 - For example, a firm may move its customer service centers whose telephone calls can be transmitted electronically to foreign location.


Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved. 2-29

Service Outsourcing (cont.)

- Service outsourcing is currently not a significant part of trade, but about 19% of service jobs are “tradeable” and thus have the potential to be outsourced.
 - ◆ In comparison, about 12% of manufacturing jobs are “tradeable” and thus have the potential to be outsourced.
 - ◆ Most jobs, however, are non-tradeable because they need to be done close to the customer.

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved. 2-30

Fig. 2-8: Tradable Industries' Share of Employment



Source: J. Bradford Jensen and Lori G. Kletzer, "Tradable Services: Understanding the Scope and Impact of Services Outsourcing," Peterson Institute of Economics Working Paper 5-09, May 2005
Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-31

Summary

1. The 5 largest trading partners with the U.S. are Canada, China, Mexico, Japan, and Germany.
2. The largest economies in the EU undertake the largest fraction of the total trade between the EU and the U.S.
3. The gravity model predicts that the volume of trade is directly related to the GDP of each trading partner and is inversely related to the distance between them.

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-32

Summary (cont.)

4. Besides size and distance; culture, geography, multinational corporations, and the existence of borders influence trade.
5. Modern transportation and communication have increased trade, but political factors have influenced trade more in history.
6. Today, most trade is in manufactured goods, while historically agricultural and mineral products made up most of trade.

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

2-33

Additional Chapter Art

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved. 2-34

Table 2-1 Hypothetical World Spending Shares and GDP

| Country | Percentage Share of World Spending | GDP (\$ trillion) |
|---------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| A | 20 | 4 |
| B | 20 | 4 |
| C | 10 | 1 |
| D | 10 | 1 |

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved. 2-35

Table 2-2 Values of Exports (\$ trillion)

| To: | A | B | C | D |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| A | — | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| B | 1.6 | — | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| C | 0.4 | 0.4 | — | 0.1 |
| D | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | — |

Copyright © 2009 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved. 2-36
